

Generalizations for Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket and for differential analog of special Yang-Baxter equations

Dmitrij V. Soroka and Vyacheslav A. Soroka

Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, 61108 Kharkov, Ukraine

E-mail: dsoroka@kipt.kharkov.ua, vsoroka@kipt.kharkov.ua

ABSTRACT: The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket is generalized for the superspace case and for the Poisson brackets of opposite Grassmann parities. Quite a number of generalizations for the differential analog of the special Yang-Baxter equations is also proposed.

KEYWORDS: Superspaces, Differential and Algebraic Geometry, Integrable Equations in Physics.

Dedicated to the light memory of Anna Yakovlevna Gelukh (Kalaida)

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Poisson brackets related with the exterior differentials	1
3. Generalizations of the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket	4
3.1 Particular cases	5
4. Generalizations for the differential analog of the special Yang-Baxter equations	9
4.1 Particular cases	10
5. Conclusion	11

1. Introduction

Recently a prescription for the construction of new Poisson brackets from the bracket with a definite Grassmann parity was proposed [1]. This prescription is based on the use of exterior differentials of diverse Grassmann parities. It was indicated in [1] that this prescription leads to the generalizations of the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] on the both superspace case and the case of the brackets with diverse Grassmann parities. In the present paper we give the details of these generalizations. Here we also develop quite a number of generalizations for the differential analog of the special¹ Yang-Baxter equations.

2. Poisson brackets related with the exterior differentials

Let us recall the prescription for the construction from a given Poisson bracket of a Grassmann parity $\epsilon \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{2}$ of another one.

A Poisson bracket, having a Grassmann parity ϵ , written in arbitrary non-canonical phase variables z^a

$$\{A, B\}_\epsilon = A \overleftarrow{\partial}_{z^a} \omega_\epsilon^{ab}(z) \overrightarrow{\partial}_{z^b} B, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\overleftarrow{\partial}$ and $\overrightarrow{\partial}$ are right and left derivatives respectively, has the following main properties:

$$g(\{A, B\}_\epsilon) \equiv g_A + g_B + \epsilon \pmod{2},$$

$$\{A, B\}_\epsilon = -(-1)^{(g_A+\epsilon)(g_B+\epsilon)} \{B, A\}_\epsilon,$$

¹Concerning terminology see, for example, [10].

$$\sum_{(ABC)} (-1)^{(g_A+\epsilon)(g_C+\epsilon)} \{A, \{B, C\}_\epsilon\}_\epsilon = 0,$$

which lead to the corresponding relations for the matrix ω_ϵ^{ab}

$$g(\omega_\epsilon^{ab}) \equiv g_a + g_b + \epsilon \pmod{2}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\omega_\epsilon^{ab} = -(-1)^{(g_a+\epsilon)(g_b+\epsilon)} \omega_\epsilon^{ba}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\sum_{(abc)} (-1)^{(g_a+\epsilon)(g_c+\epsilon)} \omega_\epsilon^{ad} \partial_{z^a} \omega_\epsilon^{bc} = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\partial_{z^a} \equiv \partial/\partial z^a$ and $g_a \equiv g(z^a)$, $g_A \equiv g(A)$ are the corresponding Grassmann parities of phase coordinates z^a and a quantity A and a sum with a symbol (abc) under it designates a summation over cyclic permutations of a, b and c . We shall consider the non-degenerated matrix ω_ϵ^{ab} which has an inverse matrix $\omega_{ab}^\epsilon (-1)^{g_b \epsilon}$ (a grading factor is chosen for the convenience)

$$\omega_\epsilon^{ab} \omega_{bc}^\epsilon (-1)^{g_c \epsilon} = \delta_c^a$$

(there is no summation over ϵ in the previous relation) with the properties

$$g(\omega_{ab}^\epsilon) \equiv g_a + g_b + \epsilon \pmod{2},$$

$$\omega_{ab}^\epsilon = (-1)^{(g_a+1)(g_b+1)} \omega_{ba}^\epsilon,$$

$$\sum_{(abc)} (-1)^{(g_a+1)g_c} \partial_{z^a} \omega_{bc}^\epsilon = 0.$$

The Hamilton equations for the phase variables z^a , which correspond to a Hamiltonian H_ϵ ($g(H_\epsilon) = \epsilon$),

$$\frac{dz^a}{dt} = \{z^a, H_\epsilon\}_\epsilon = \omega_\epsilon^{ab} \partial_{z^b} H_\epsilon \quad (2.5)$$

can be represented in the form

$$\frac{dz^a}{dt} = \omega_\epsilon^{ab} \partial_{z^b} H_\epsilon \equiv \omega_\epsilon^{ab} \frac{\partial(d_\zeta H_\epsilon)}{\partial(d_\zeta z^b)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (z^a, d_\zeta H_\epsilon)_{\epsilon+\zeta}, \quad (2.6)$$

where d_ζ ($\zeta = 0, 1$) is one of the exterior differentials d_0 or d_1 , which have opposite Grassmann parities 0 and 1 respectively and following symmetry properties with respect to the ordinary multiplication

$$d_0 z^a d_0 z^b = (-1)^{g_a g_b} d_0 z^b d_0 z^a, \quad (2.7)$$

$$d_1 z^a d_1 z^b = (-1)^{(g_a+1)(g_b+1)} d_1 z^b d_1 z^a \quad (2.8)$$

and exterior products

$$d_0 z^a \wedge d_0 z^b = (-1)^{g_a g_b + 1} d_0 z^b \wedge d_0 z^a, \quad (2.9)$$

$$d_1 z^a \tilde{\wedge} d_1 z^b = (-1)^{(g_a+1)(g_b+1)} d_1 z^b \tilde{\wedge} d_1 z^a. \quad (2.10)$$

We use different notations $\wedge$ and $\tilde{\wedge}$ for the exterior products of $d_0 z^a$ and $d_1 z^a$ respectively.

By taking the exterior differential d_ζ from the Hamilton equations (2.5), we obtain

$$\frac{d(d_\zeta z^a)}{dt} = (d_\zeta \omega_\epsilon^{ab}) \frac{\partial(d_\zeta H_\epsilon)}{\partial(d_\zeta z^b)} + (-1)^{\zeta(g_a+\epsilon)} \omega_\epsilon^{ab} \partial_{z^b}(d_\zeta H_\epsilon) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (d_\zeta z^a, d_\zeta H_\epsilon)_{\epsilon+\zeta}. \quad (2.11)$$

As a result of equations (2.6) and (2.11) we have by definition the following binary composition for functions F and H of the variables z^a and their differentials $d_\zeta z^a \equiv y_\zeta^a$

$$\begin{aligned} (F, H)_{\epsilon+\zeta} = F \left[\overleftarrow{\partial}_{z^a} \omega_\epsilon^{ab} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{y_\zeta^b} + (-1)^{\zeta(g_a+\epsilon)} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{y_\zeta^a} \omega_\epsilon^{ab} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{z^b} \right. \\ \left. + \overleftarrow{\partial}_{y_\zeta^a} y_\zeta^c (\partial_{z^c} \omega_\epsilon^{ab}) \overrightarrow{\partial}_{y_\zeta^b} \right] H. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

By using relations (2.2)-(2.4) for the matrix ω_ϵ^{ab} , we can establish the following properties for the binary composition (2.12)

$$g[(F, H)_{\epsilon+\zeta}] \equiv g_F + g_H + \epsilon + \zeta \pmod{2},$$

$$(F, H)_{\epsilon+\zeta} = -(-1)^{(g_F+\epsilon+\zeta)(g_H+\epsilon+\zeta)} (H, F)_{\epsilon+\zeta},$$

$$\sum_{(EFH)} (-1)^{(g_E+\epsilon+\zeta)(g_H+\epsilon+\zeta)} (E, (F, H)_{\epsilon+\zeta})_{\epsilon+\zeta} = 0,$$

which mean that the composition (2.12) satisfies all the main properties for the Poisson bracket with the Grassmann parity equal to $\epsilon + \zeta$. Thus, the application of the exterior differentials of opposite Grassmann parities to the given Poisson bracket results in the brackets of the different Grassmann parities.

By transition to the co-differential variables $y_a^{\epsilon+\zeta}$, related with differentials y_ζ^a by means of the matrix ω_ϵ^{ab}

$$y_\zeta^a = y_b^{\epsilon+\zeta} \omega_\epsilon^{ba}, \quad (2.13)$$

the Poisson bracket (2.12) takes a canonical form²

$$(F, H)_{\epsilon+\zeta} = F \left[\overleftarrow{\partial}_{z^a} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{y_a^{\epsilon+\zeta}} - (-1)^{g_a(g_a+\epsilon+\zeta)} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{y_a^{\epsilon+\zeta}} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{z^a} \right] H, \quad (2.14)$$

that can be proved with the use of the Jacobi identity (2.4).

²There is no summation over ϵ in relation (2.13).

The bracket (2.12) is given on the functions of the variables z^a, y_ζ^a

$$F = \sum_p \frac{1}{p!} y_\zeta^{a_p} \cdots y_\zeta^{a_1} f_{a_1 \dots a_p}(z), \quad g(f_{a_1 \dots a_p}) = g_f + g_{a_1} + \cdots + g_{a_p}, \quad (2.15)$$

whereas this bracket, rewritten in the form (2.14), is given on the functions of variables z^a and $y_a^{\epsilon+\zeta}$

$$F = \sum_p \frac{1}{p!} y_{a_p}^{\epsilon+\zeta} \cdots y_{a_1}^{\epsilon+\zeta} f^{a_1 \dots a_p}(z), \quad g(f^{a_1 \dots a_p}) = g_f + \epsilon p + g_{a_1} + \cdots + g_{a_p}. \quad (2.16)$$

We do not exclude a possibility of the own Grassmann parity $g_f \equiv g(f)$ for a quantity f . By taking into account relation (2.13), we have the following rule for the rising of indices:

$$f^{b_1 \dots b_p} = (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} [g_{b_1} + \dots + g_{b_k} + k(\epsilon + \zeta)](g_{b_{k+1}} + g_{a_{k+1}} + \epsilon)} \omega_\epsilon^{b_p a_p} \cdots \omega_\epsilon^{b_1 a_1} f_{a_1 \dots a_p}.$$

Note that the quantities $f_{a_1 \dots a_p}$ and $f^{a_1 \dots a_p}$ have in general the different symmetry and parity properties.

In the case $\zeta = 1$, due to relations (2.8), (2.10), the terms in the decomposition of a function $F(z^a, y_1^a)$ into degrees p of the variables y_1^a

$$F = \sum_p \frac{1}{p!} y_1^{a_p} \cdots y_1^{a_1} f_{a_1 \dots a_p}(z) \quad (2.17)$$

can be treated as p -forms and the bracket (2.12) can be considered as a Poisson bracket on p -forms so that being taken between a p -form and a q -form results in a $(p + q - 1)$ -form³. Thus, the bracket (2.12) is a generalization of the bracket introduced in [10, 12] on the superspace case and on the case of the brackets (2.1) with arbitrary Grassmann parities ϵ ($\epsilon = 0, 1$).

3. Generalizations of the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket

If we take the bracket in the canonical form (2.14), then we obtain the generalizations of the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket [2, 3] (see also [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]) onto the cases of superspace and the brackets of diverse Grassmann parities. Indeed, let us consider the bracket (2.14) between monomials F and H having respectively degrees p and q

$$F = \frac{1}{p!} y_{a_p}^{\epsilon+\zeta} \cdots y_{a_1}^{\epsilon+\zeta} f^{a_1 \dots a_p}(z), \quad g(f^{a_1 \dots a_p}) = g_f + p\epsilon + g_{a_1} + \cdots + g_{a_p},$$

$$H = \frac{1}{q!} y_{a_q}^{\epsilon+\zeta} \cdots y_{a_1}^{\epsilon+\zeta} h^{a_1 \dots a_q}(z), \quad g(h^{a_1 \dots a_q}) = g_h + q\epsilon + g_{a_1} + \cdots + g_{a_q}.$$

³Concerning a Poisson bracket between 1-forms and its relation with the Lie bracket of vector fields see in the book [11].

Then as a result we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F, H)_{\epsilon+\zeta} &= \frac{(-1)^{[g_{b_1}+\dots+g_{b_{q-1}}+(q-1)(\epsilon+\zeta)](g_f+g_l+p\zeta)}}{p!(q-1)!} \\
 &\times y_{b_{q-1}}^{\epsilon+\zeta} \dots y_{b_1}^{\epsilon+\zeta} y_{a_p}^{\epsilon+\zeta} \dots y_{a_1}^{\epsilon+\zeta} \left(f^{a_1\dots a_p} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{z^l} \right) h^{b_1\dots b_{q-1}l} \\
 &- \frac{(-1)^{(g_l+\epsilon+\zeta)(g_f+p\epsilon+g_{a_2}+\dots+g_{a_p})+[g_{b_1}+\dots+g_{b_q}+q(\epsilon+\zeta)][g_f+\epsilon+(p-1)\zeta]}}{(p-1)!q!} \\
 &\times y_{b_q}^{\epsilon+\zeta} \dots y_{b_1}^{\epsilon+\zeta} y_{a_p}^{\epsilon+\zeta} \dots y_{a_2}^{\epsilon+\zeta} f^{la_2\dots a_p} \partial_{z^l} h^{b_1\dots b_q}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

3.1 Particular cases

Let us consider the formula (3.1) for the particular values of ϵ and ζ .

1. We start from the case which leads to the usual Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket for the skew-symmetric contravariant tensors. In this case, when $\epsilon = 0$, $\zeta = 1$ and the matrix $\omega_0^{ab}(x) = -\omega_0^{ba}(x)$ corresponds to the usual Poisson bracket for the commuting coordinates $z^a = x^a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F, H)_1 &= \frac{(-1)^{(q-1)(g_f+p)}}{p!(q-1)!} \Theta_{b_{q-1}} \dots \Theta_{b_1} \Theta_{a_p} \dots \Theta_{a_1} \left(f^{a_1\dots a_p} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{x^l} \right) h^{b_1\dots b_{q-1}l} \\
 &- \frac{(-1)^{g_f(q+1)+q(p-1)}}{(p-1)!q!} \Theta_{b_q} \dots \Theta_{b_1} \Theta_{a_p} \dots \Theta_{a_2} f^{la_2\dots a_p} \partial_{x^l} h^{b_1\dots b_q},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\Theta_a \equiv y_a^1$ are Grassmann co-differential variables related owing to (2.13) with the Grassmann differential variables $\Theta^a \equiv d_1 x^a$

$$\Theta^a = \Theta_b \omega_0^{ba}.$$

When Grassmann parities of the quantities f and h are equal to zero $g_f = g_h = 0$, we obtain from (3.2)

$$(F, H)_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^{(p+1)q+1} \Theta_{a_{p+q}} \dots \Theta_{a_2} [F, H]^{a_2\dots a_{p+q}}, \tag{3.3}$$

where $[F, H]^{a_2\dots a_{p+q}}$ are components of the usual Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket (see, for example, [8]) for the contravariant antisymmetric tensors⁴. This bracket has the following symmetry property

$$[F, H] = (-1)^{pq} [H, F] \tag{3.4}$$

and satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\sum_{(FHE)} (-1)^{ps} [[F, H], E] = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

⁴Here and below we use the same notation $[F, H]$ for the different brackets. We hope that this will not lead to the confusion.

where s is a degree of a monomial E .

2. In the case $\epsilon = \zeta = 0$ and $\omega_0^{ab}(x) = -\omega_0^{ba}(x)$ we obtain the bracket for symmetric contravariant tensors (see, for example, [7])

$$(F, H)_0 = \frac{1}{p!(q-1)!} y_{b_{q-1}}^0 \cdots y_{b_1}^0 y_{a_p}^0 \cdots y_{a_1}^0 (\partial_{x^l} f^{a_1 \dots a_p}) h^{b_1 \dots b_{q-1} l} \\ - \frac{1}{(p-1)!q!} y_{b_q}^0 \cdots y_{b_1}^0 y_{a_p}^0 \cdots y_{a_2}^0 f^{la_2 \dots a_p} \partial_{x^l} h^{b_1 \dots b_q} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y_{a_{p+q}}^0 \cdots y_{a_2}^0 [F, H]^{a_2 \dots a_{p+q}},$$

where commuting co-differentials y_a^0 connected with commuting differentials $y_0^a \equiv d_0 x^a$ in accordance with (2.13)

$$y_0^a = y_b^0 \omega_0^{ba}$$

and the bracket $[F, H]^{a_2 \dots a_{p+q}}$ has the following symmetry property

$$[F, H] = -(-1)^{g_f g_h} [H, F]$$

and satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\sum_{(EFH)} (-1)^{g_e g_h} [E, [F, H]] = 0.$$

3. By taking the Martin bracket [13] $\omega_0^{ab}(\theta) = \omega_0^{ba}(\theta)$ with Grassmann coordinates $z^a = \theta^a$ ($g_a = 1$) as an initial bracket (2.1), we have in the case $\zeta = 0$ for antisymmetric contravariant tensors on the Grassmann algebra

$$(F, H)_0 = \frac{(-1)^{(q-1)(g_f+1)}}{p!(q-1)!} \Theta_{b_{q-1}} \cdots \Theta_{b_1} \Theta_{a_p} \cdots \Theta_{a_1} (f^{a_1 \dots a_p} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{\theta^l}) h^{b_1 \dots b_{q-1} l} \\ + \frac{(-1)^{(q-1)g_f+p}}{(p-1)!q!} \Theta_{b_q} \cdots \Theta_{b_1} \Theta_{a_p} \cdots \Theta_{a_2} f^{la_2 \dots a_p} \partial_{\theta^l} h^{b_1 \dots b_q} \\ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Theta_{a_{p+q}} \cdots \Theta_{a_2} [F, H]^{a_2 \dots a_{p+q}},$$

where the Grassmann co-differentials Θ_a related with the Grassmann differentials Θ^a as

$$d_0 \theta^a \equiv \Theta^a = \Theta_b \omega_0^{ba}.$$

The bracket $[F, H]$ has the following symmetry property

$$[F, H] = -(-1)^{g_f g_h} [H, F]$$

and satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\sum_{(EFH)} (-1)^{g_e g_h} [E, [F, H]] = 0.$$

4. By taking the Martin bracket again, in the case $\zeta = 1$

$$d_1 \theta^a \equiv x^a = x_b \omega_0^{ba}$$

we obtain for the symmetric tensors on Grassmann algebra

$$\begin{aligned} (F, H)_1 &= \frac{1}{p!(q-1)!} x_{b_{q-1}} \cdots x_{b_1} x_{a_p} \cdots x_{a_1} (f^{a_1 \dots a_p} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{\theta^l}) h^{b_1 \dots b_{q-1} l} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(p-1)!q!} x_{b_q} \cdots x_{b_1} x_{a_p} \cdots x_{a_2} f^{l a_2 \dots a_p} \partial_{\theta^l} h^{b_1 \dots b_q} \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_{a_{p+q}} \cdots x_{a_2} [F, H]^{a_2 \dots a_{p+q}}. \end{aligned}$$

The bracket $[F, H]$ has the following symmetry property

$$[F, H] = -(-1)^{(g_f+p+1)(g_h+q+1)} [H, F]$$

and satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\sum_{(EFH)} (-1)^{(g_e+s+1)(g_h+q+1)} [E, [F, H]] = 0.$$

5. In general, if we take the even bracket in superspace with coordinates $z^a = (x, \theta)$, then in the case $\zeta = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (F, H)_1 &= \frac{(-1)^{(g_{b_1} + \dots + g_{b_{q-1}} + q - 1)(g_f + g_l + p)}}{p!(q-1)!} y_{b_{q-1}}^1 \cdots y_{b_1}^1 y_{a_p}^1 \cdots y_{a_1}^1 (f^{a_1 \dots a_p} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{z^l}) h^{b_1 \dots b_{q-1} l} \\ &\quad - \frac{(-1)^{(g_l + 1)(g_f + g_{a_2} + \dots + g_{a_p}) + (g_{b_1} + \dots + g_{b_q} + q)(g_f + p - 1)}}{(p-1)!q!} y_{b_q}^1 \cdots y_{b_1}^1 y_{a_p}^1 \cdots y_{a_2}^1 f^{l a_2 \dots a_p} \partial_{z^l} h^{b_1 \dots b_q} \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y_{a_{p+q}}^1 \cdots y_{a_2}^1 [F, H]^{a_2 \dots a_{p+q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_1 z^a \equiv y_1^a = y_b^1 \omega_0^{ba}.$$

The bracket $[F, H]$ has the following symmetry property

$$[F, H] = -(-1)^{(g_f+p+1)(g_h+q+1)} [H, F]$$

and satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\sum_{(EFH)} (-1)^{(g_e+s+1)(g_h+q+1)} [E, [F, H]] = 0.$$

6. In the case of the even bracket in superspace as initial one with $\zeta = 0$ we obtain

$$(F, H)_0 = \frac{(-1)^{(g_{b_1} + \dots + g_{b_{q-1}})(g_f + g_l)}}{p!(q-1)!} y_{b_{q-1}}^0 \cdots y_{b_1}^0 y_{a_p}^0 \cdots y_{a_1}^0 (f^{a_1 \dots a_p} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{z^l}) h^{b_1 \dots b_{q-1} l}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{(-1)^{g_l(g_f+g_{a_2}+\dots+g_{a_p})+g_f(g_{b_1}+\dots+g_{b_q})}}{(p-1)!q!} y_{b_q}^0 \cdots y_{b_1}^0 y_{a_p}^0 \cdots y_{a_2}^0 f^{la_2\dots a_p} \partial_{z^l} h^{b_1\dots b_q} \\
 & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y_{a_{p+q}}^0 \cdots y_{a_2}^0 [F, H]^{a_2\dots a_{p+q}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_0 z^a \equiv y_0^a = y_b^0 \omega_0^{ba}.$$

The bracket $[F, H]$ has the following symmetry property

$$[F, H] = -(-1)^{g_f g_h} [H, F]$$

and satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\sum_{(EFH)} (-1)^{g_e g_h} [E, [F, H]] = 0.$$

7. Taking as an initial bracket the odd Poisson bracket in superspace with coordinates z^a , for the case $\zeta = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F, H)_1 &= \frac{(-1)^{(g_{b_1}+\dots+g_{b_{q-1}}+q-1)(g_f+g_l)}}{p!(q-1)!} y_{b_{q-1}}^1 \cdots y_{b_1}^1 y_{a_p}^1 \cdots y_{a_1}^1 (f^{a_1\dots a_p} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{z^l}) h^{b_1\dots b_{q-1}l} \\
 & - \frac{(-1)^{(g_l+1)(g_f+p+g_{a_2}+\dots+g_{a_p})+(g_f-1)(g_{b_1}+\dots+g_{b_q}+q)}}{(p-1)!q!} \\
 & \times y_{b_q}^1 \cdots y_{b_1}^1 y_{a_p}^1 \cdots y_{a_2}^1 f^{la_2\dots a_p} \partial_{z^l} h^{b_1\dots b_q} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y_{a_{p+q}}^1 \cdots y_{a_2}^1 [F, H]^{a_2\dots a_{p+q}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_0 z^a \equiv y_0^a = y_b^1 \omega_1^{ba}.$$

The bracket $[F, H]$ has the following symmetry property

$$[F, H] = -(-1)^{(g_f+1)(g_h+1)} [H, F]$$

and satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\sum_{(EFH)} (-1)^{(g_e+1)(g_h+1)} [E, [F, H]] = 0.$$

8. At last for the odd Poisson bracket in superspace, taking as an initial one, we obtain in the case $\zeta = 1$

$$(F, H)_0 = (-1)^{(g_{b_1}+\dots+g_{b_{q-1}})(g_f+p)} \left[\frac{1}{p!(q-1)!} y_{b_{q-1}}^0 \cdots y_{b_1}^0 y_{a_p}^0 \cdots y_{a_1}^0 (f^{a_1\dots a_p} \overleftarrow{\partial}_{z^l}) h^{lb_1\dots b_{q-1}} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{(-1)^{(g_f+p)(g_l+g_{b_q})}}{(p-1)!q!} y_{b_q}^0 \cdots y_{b_1}^0 y_{a_p}^0 \cdots y_{a_2}^0 f^{a_2 \dots a_p l} \partial_{z^l} h^{b_1 \dots b_q} \Big] \\
 & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y_{a_{p+q}}^0 \cdots y_{a_2}^0 [F, H]^{a_2 \dots a_{p+q}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_1 z^a \equiv y_1^a = y_b^0 \omega_1^{ba}.$$

The bracket $[F, H]$ has the following symmetry property

$$[F, H] = -(-1)^{(g_f+p)(g_h+q)} [H, F]$$

and satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\sum_{(EFH)} (-1)^{(g_e+s)(g_h+q)} [E, [F, H]] = 0.$$

Thus, we see that the formula (3.1) contains as particular cases quite a number of the Schouten-Nijenhuis type brackets.

4. Generalizations for the differential analog of the special Yang-Baxter equations

The bracket (2.12) for the monomials F and H having respectively degrees p and q and expressed in terms of the variables y_ζ^a has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F, H)_{\epsilon+\zeta} &= \frac{(-1)^{[g_{b_1}+\dots+g_{b_{q-1}}+(q-1)\zeta](q_f+\epsilon+p\zeta+g_n)}}{p!(q-1)!} \\
 &\times y_\zeta^{b_{q-1}} \cdots y_\zeta^{b_1} y_\zeta^{a_p} \cdots y_\zeta^{a_1} \left(f_{a_1 \dots a_p} \overleftarrow{\partial_{z^l}} \right) \omega_\epsilon^{ln} h_{b_1 \dots b_{q-1} n} \\
 &+ \frac{(-1)^{(g_{b_1}+\dots+g_{b_q}+q\zeta)[g_f+\epsilon+(p-1)\zeta]+\zeta(g_l+\epsilon)+(\zeta+g_l)(g_f+g_l+g_{a_2}+\dots+g_{a_p})}}{(p-1)!q!} \\
 &\times y_\zeta^{b_q} \cdots y_\zeta^{b_1} y_\zeta^{a_p} \cdots y_\zeta^{a_2} f_{la_2 \dots a_p} \omega_\epsilon^{ln} \partial_{z^n} h_{b_1 \dots b_q} \\
 &+ \frac{(-1)^{[g_{b_1}+\dots+g_{b_{q-1}}+(q-1)\zeta](g_f+g_n+\epsilon+p\zeta)+(g_c+\zeta)[g_f+g_l+(p-1)\zeta]+(g_l+\zeta)(g_f+g_l+g_{a_2}+\dots+g_{a_p})}}{(p-1)!(q-1)!} \\
 &\times y_\zeta^{b_{q-1}} \cdots y_\zeta^{b_1} y_\zeta^c y_\zeta^{a_p} \cdots y_\zeta^{a_2} f_{la_2 \dots a_p} \left(\partial_{z^l} \omega_\epsilon^{ln} \right) h_{b_1 \dots b_{q-1} n}. \tag{4.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

The formulas (3.1) and (4.1) in particular case when $F = H \equiv S$ and the degree of the monomial S is equal to two

$$S = \frac{1}{2} y_{a_2}^{\epsilon+\zeta} y_{a_1}^{\epsilon+\zeta} s^{a_1 a_2} = \frac{1}{2} y_\zeta^{a_2} y_\zeta^{a_1} s_{a_1 a_2}$$

take the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S, S)_{\epsilon+\zeta} &= \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{(g_s+\epsilon+\zeta)(g_l+g_{a_2}+g_{a_3})+g_s(\epsilon+\zeta)} \left[(-1)^{g_s} - (-1)^{\epsilon+\zeta} \right] y_{a_3}^{\epsilon+\zeta} y_{a_2}^{\epsilon+\zeta} y_{a_1}^{\epsilon+\zeta} s^{a_1 l} \partial_{z^l} s^{a_2 a_3} \\
 &= (-1)^{(g_{a_2}+g_{a_3})(g_s+\zeta)+\zeta(g_s+g_l+\epsilon)+g_l(g_s+1)} y_{\zeta}^{a_3} y_{\zeta}^{a_2} y_{\zeta}^{a_1} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{(g_{a_2}+g_{a_3})\epsilon} [(-1)^{g_s+\epsilon+1}+(-1)^{\zeta}]}{2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times s_{a_1 l} \omega_{\epsilon}^{ln} \partial_{z^n} s_{a_2 a_3} + (-1)^{g_{a_2} g_l + g_{a_3} \epsilon + \zeta} s_{a_1 l} \left(\partial_{z^{a_2}} \omega_{\epsilon}^{ln} \right) s_{na_3} \right\}. \quad (4.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

In order that the tensor s^{ab} in (4.2) itself should be a matrix for the Poisson bracket

$$(F, H) = F \overleftarrow{\partial}_{z^a} s^{ab}(z) \overrightarrow{\partial}_{z^b} H$$

and therefore satisfies the Jacobi identity, the bracket (4.2) for S has to be equal to zero

$$(S, S)_{\epsilon+\zeta} = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

4.1 Particular cases

In two cases $g_s = 0$, $\epsilon + \zeta = 0$ and $g_s = 1$, $\epsilon + \zeta = 1$ relation (4.3) is satisfied identically because of the symmetry property of the bracket (4.2).

1. When $g_s = 0$, $\epsilon = 0$ and $\zeta = 1$ we have the superspace generalization for the differential analog of the special Yang-Baxter equations

$$(S, S)_1 = (-1)^{g_{a_2}+g_{a_3}} y_1^{a_3} y_1^{a_2} y_1^{a_1} \left[s_{a_1 l} \omega_0^{ln} \partial_{z^n} s_{a_2 a_3} + (-1)^{g_l g_{a_2}} s_{a_1 l} \left(\partial_{z^{a_2}} \omega_0^{ln} \right) s_{na_3} \right] = 0.$$

2. In this case if $z^a = x^a$ are commuting coordinates and variables $d_1 x^a = y_1^a \equiv \theta^a$ are Grassmann quantities, we obtain the well-known differential analog of the special Yang-Baxter equations (see, for example, [10])

$$(S, S)_1 = \theta^{a_3} \theta^{a_2} \theta^{a_1} \left[s_{a_1 l} \omega_0^{ln} \partial_{x^n} s_{a_2 a_3} + s_{a_1 l} \left(\partial_{x^{a_2}} \omega_0^{ln} \right) s_{na_3} \right] = 0.$$

3. If $z^a = \theta^a$ are Grassmann coordinates, variables $y_1^a = d_1 \theta^a \equiv x^a$ are commuting and the symmetric matrix ω_0^{ab} corresponds to the Martin bracket [13], we have some generalization on Grassmann variables for the differential analog of the special Yang-Baxter equations

$$(S, S)_1 = x^{a_3} x^{a_2} x^{a_1} \left[s_{a_1 l} \omega_0^{ln} \partial_{\theta^n} s_{a_2 a_3} - s_{a_1 l} \left(\partial_{\theta^{a_2}} \omega_0^{ln} \right) s_{na_3} \right] = 0.$$

4. In the case $g_s = 0$, $\epsilon = 1$ and $\zeta = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S, S)_1 &= (-1)^{g_l} y_0^{a_3} y_0^{a_2} y_0^{a_1} \left[(-1)^{g_{a_2}+g_{a_3}} s_{a_1 l} \omega_1^{ln} \partial_{z^n} s_{a_2 a_3} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (-1)^{g_l g_{a_2} + g_{a_3}} s_{a_1 l} \left(\partial_{z^{a_2}} \omega_1^{ln} \right) s_{na_3} \right] = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

that is another generalization of the above mentioned analog on the superspace case.

5. When $g_s = 1$ and $\epsilon = \zeta = 1$ we have the third superspace generalization for this analog

$$(S, S)_0 = -(-1)^{g_l} y_1^{a_3} y_1^{a_2} y_1^{a_1} \left[(-1)^{g_{a_2} + g_{a_3}} s_{a_1 l} \omega_1^{ln} \partial_{z^n} s_{a_2 a_3} + (-1)^{g_l g_{a_2} + g_{a_3}} s_{a_1 l} \left(\partial_{z^{a_2}} \omega_1^{ln} \right) s_{na_3} \right] = 0.$$

6. At last in the case when $g_s = 1$ and $\epsilon = \zeta = 0$ we have the fourth superspace generalization

$$(S, S)_0 = (-1)^{g_{a_2} + g_{a_3}} y_0^{a_3} y_0^{a_2} y_0^{a_1} \left[s_{a_1 l} \omega_0^{ln} \partial_{z^n} s_{a_2 a_3} + (-1)^{g_l g_{a_2}} s_{a_1 l} \left(\partial_{z^{a_2}} \omega_0^{ln} \right) s_{na_3} \right] = 0.$$

In the last case we have two sub-cases.

6a) The first one when we take as an initial bracket (2.1) the usual Poisson bracket $\omega_0^{ab} = -\omega_0^{ba}$, $z^a \equiv x^a$ are commuting variables and $d_0 z^a = d_0 x^a \equiv y_0^a$ are also commuting

$$(S, S)_0 = y_0^{a_3} y_0^{a_2} y_0^{a_1} \left[s_{a_1 l} \omega_0^{ln} \partial_{x^n} s_{a_2 a_3} + s_{a_1 l} \left(\partial_{x^{a_2}} \omega_0^{ln} \right) s_{na_3} \right] = 0.$$

6b) In the second sub-case we take the Martin bracket on the Grassmann algebra as an initial one: $z^a \equiv \theta^a$ are Grassmann variables, $\omega_0^{ab} = \omega_0^{ba}$ and $d_0 z^a = d_0 \theta^a \equiv \Theta^a$ are also Grassmann quantities. In this sub-case we have

$$(S, S)_0 = \Theta^{a_3} \Theta^{a_2} \Theta^{a_1} \left[s_{a_1 l} \omega_0^{ln} \partial_{\theta^n} s_{a_2 a_3} - s_{a_1 l} \left(\partial_{\theta^{a_2}} \omega_0^{ln} \right) s_{na_3} \right] = 0.$$

Thus, we obtained quite a number of generalizations for the differential analog of the special Yang-Baxter equations.

5. Conclusion

We give the prescription for the construction from a given Poisson bracket of the definite Grassmann parity another bracket. For this construction we use the exterior differentials with different Grassmann parities. We proved that the resulting Poisson bracket essentially depends on the parity of the exterior differential in spite of these differentials give the same exterior calculus [1]. The prescription leads to the set of different generalizations for the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket. Thus, we see that the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket and its possible generalizations are particular cases of the usual Poisson brackets of different Grassmann parities (2.14). We hope that these generalizations will find their own application for the deformation quantization (see, for example, [8, 14]) as well as the usual Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket.

We also proposed a lot of generalizations for the differential analog of the special Yang-Baxter equations. We also believe that these generalizations can be used for the description of integrable systems as well as the usual Yang-Baxter equations.

Acknowledgments

We are sincerely grateful to J. Stasheff for the interest to the work and stimulating remarks. One of the authors (V.A.S.) sincerely thanks L. Bonora for the fruitful discussions and warm hospitality at the SISSA/ISAS (Trieste) where this work has been completed.

References

- [1] D.V. Soroka and V.A. Soroka, *Exterior differentials in superspace and Poisson brackets*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **0303** (2003) 001; hep-th/0211280.
- [2] J.A. Schouten, *Über differentiaalkomitanten zweier Kontravarianter Grossen*, *Proc. Nederl. Acad. Wetensch., ser. A.* **43** (1940) 449.
- [3] A. Nijenhuis, *Indag. Math.* **17** (1955) 390.
- [4] A. Nijenhuis, *Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. Amsterdam A.* **58** (1955) 390.
- [5] A. Frolicher and A. Nijenhuis, *Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wet. Amsterdam A.* **59** (1956) 338.
- [6] K. Kodaira and D.C. Spencer, *Ann. Math.* **74** (1961) 59.
- [7] C. Buttin, *Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Ser. A* **269** (1969) 87.
- [8] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, D. Sternheimer, *Deformation theory and quantization, 1. Deformations of symplectic structures*, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **111** (1978) 61.
- [9] Z. Oziewicz, *On Schouten-Nijenhuis and Frolicher-Nijenhuis Lie modules*, *The lecture given at the XIX International Conference on Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics*, Rapallo (Genova) Italy, 1990.
- [10] M.V. Karasev and V.P. Maslov, *Non-linear Poisson brackets. Geometry and quantization*, Moscow, Nauka, 1991.
- [11] S. Sternberg, *Lectures on differential geometry*, Prentice Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1964.
- [12] M.V. Karasev, *Proceeding of the Conference “Theory of group representations and its applications in physics”*, Tambov, 1989; Moscow, Nauka, 1990.
- [13] J.L. Martin, *Generalized classical dynamics and the “classical analogue” of a Fermi oscillator*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* **251** (1959) 536.
- [14] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, 1*, Preprint alg/9709040.